FINANCIAL REVIEW TEAM - EVALUATION TOOL

2025-2028 GRANT APPLICATION

941112	ration Name:		
ogran	n Name:		
eview	er's Name: Date		
Revie	ew Questions Reference	Yes	No
tł	According to the auditor's notes and/or management letter (if supplied): Is note audit free of any significant material weaknesses or significant deficiencies? Organizational Financial Statements		
		Yes	N
2. Is	Agency Profit and Loss Statement, Organizational Financial Statements Are current assets greater than current liabilities? Agency Profit and Loss Statement, Organizational Financial Statements		
		Yes	N
	Based on the audit or recent statements, are the organization's dministrative costs under 25% of the actual total expenditures? Agency Profit and Loss Statement, Organizational Financial Statements,		
	Note: Use spreadsheet to calculate percentage and indicate that number Federal Tax Return		
a (I ir	omments:		

Agency Profit and Loss Statement, Organizational Financial Statements,		l
Federal Tax Return		
	Yes	No
Agency Profit and Loss Statement		
	Agency Profit and Loss Statement	

			Yes	No
6.	If this is an existing program, was the program's actual net income within 15% of budgeted net income last year?	Program Profit and Loss Statement		
	Comments:			

			Yes	No
7.	If this is an existing program, is the program's budget for the coming year in alignment/ appropriate compared with the previous year's actuals?	Program Budget		
	Comments:			

8.	Please note any additional concerns, if not stated above:					
9.	Please note financial strengths:					
10.	Please note questions that should be asked at the grant review panel:					
		ate				
		nonstra				±
		Not demonstrate	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
		0	<u>1</u>	ம் 2	თ 3	ш 4
Ple	ease rate the financial strength of this program.					

- **0 Not Demonstrated:** The applicant left the question blank, or did not answer the question that was asked. The applicant gives irrelevant information, or the answer is completely insufficient.
- **1 Poor:** The applicant provided minimal detail and insufficient descriptions that did not answer the question. The applicant may have answered a part of the question, but missed a key point or was not comprehensive. The answer is unclear.
- 2 Fair: The applicant may answer the question, but does not clearly demonstrate optimal answer or plan. The answer is acceptable, but lacks some details and specific examples.
- **3 Good:** The applicant has a comprehensive answer with significant description, relevant details, and clear examples. The answer is better than average, but not superb.
- **4 Excellent:** The applicant has answered the question with thorough details and examples. It is clear to the reviewer that the applicant has a strong understanding of the topic and has clearly demonstrated a superb answer.